

Histori Publikasi

1. Submit ke Jurnal: 15 Januari 2022
2. Balasan Editor (Hasil Review -1): 10 Februari 2022
3. Submit Revisi-1: 1 Maret 2022
4. Balasan Editor (Hasil Review -2): 25 Maret 2022
5. Submit Revisi-2: 18 April 2022
6. Balasan Editor (Paper diterima): 16 Mei 2022

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Sat, Jan 15, 2022, at 08:00 AM

To: I Nyoman Suwija <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," to the Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal website:

Submission URL: <https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/136>

Username: suwija

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[Eurasian Journal of Educational Research \(EJER\)](#)

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 10, 2022, at 10:38 PM

To: I Nyoman Suwija <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

The paper "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," has been preliminarily reviewed.

Reviewers have given their comments on your paper. Please do the following when you resubmit your revised version:

- (i) All corrections as per the reviewers' comments and prepare a table/response letter showing corrections done. Your corrections will not be accepted in the absence of this response letter/table.
- (ii) All authors' names, emails and affiliations checked and corrected
- (iii) Add ORCID IDs of all authors

Please ensure the submission of the revision within 1 month of receiving this mail either both as a reply to this mail and in the online system.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (EJER)

Reviewer 1

The study " The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support" is in accordance with the scope of the journal. However, I have some concerns that, if addressed by the authors, will make this study a valuable contribution to the body of literature.

1. The introduction needs to justify and articulate the focus and questions of this study from the relevant scholarship, policy, and practice perspectives using relevant literature as support. There is a need to explain in detail the traditional and modern Balinese songs. Researchers should develop research objectives at the end of the introduction in alignment with the study focus.
2. Also, many claims throughout the manuscript are not supported by sources/references.
3. Few instances,
4. Page 1: Balinese songs were intentionally crafted as both amusing and instructional literary works. By listening to the chanting of Balinese tunes, many positive traits can be developed.....
5. Page 2: Character is a trait, an attitude, a moral code, or a single attribute acquired over a lifetime. Since personality exists, a foundation in soft skills enhances one's future success. This is the skill that each individual must develop and constantly employ.....
6. I suggest authors should avoid such claims without references.

7. Before proceeding with the hypothesis development, the authors should explain the positive character-building ability in Balinese songs separately. Hypothesis 4 needs to be more detailed and current literature based. Moreover, the literature review shows minimum exposure of authors to the latest work of many renowned scholars. Authors should dig deep into the existing studies to build a stronger case for hypothesized paths, specifically moderation links.
8. There is a need to elaborate on the research methodology in detail, along with the participant information and the study's mode. How did the authors recruit respondents? What were including and excluding criteria etc.? It is also good that the authors explain how the data was analyzed.
9. How did the authors assess the discriminate validity of the study constructs? Add a figure for the structural model assessment. Also, it is recommended to present the regression results in tabular form.
10. The discussion is much abbreviated. This section needs a description of your study aims and a brief summary of the findings as they relate to these aims, followed by a comprehensive summary of how your findings compare and contrast to those of previous researchers (including relevant citations) that I only see a few. This will give the paper greater consistency and structural coherence, leaving readers with a clear sense of the paper's aims and trajectory. Also, authors should present theoretical and practical implications with separate headings
11. There is a need to add a separate section for the Limitations
12. Authors should double-check their citations and match them with the end-text references. Moreover, there is a need to follow the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study. Also, there is a need to add DOIs for the reference

Reviewer 2

The detailed comments regarding each part of the manuscript are given as follows, which may be helpful to the authors to improve their paper.

1. The start of the paper is good. However, too much detail in the introduction makes it boring and overworked. Rather than focusing on the gap and discussing the reason for proposing the model and justifying the proposed associations, the author/authors primarily focused too much on explaining Balinese culture, etc. The detail of how this study can advance our knowledge in this area is missing. Moreover, the authors have not properly utilized to devise the research gap to make the manuscript more influential. The authors tried to present a gap, but the references are not updated.
2. The literature review needs to be much more clearly written. The ideas are there, but they need to be explained further, and the ideas need to be more clearly linked together. An in-depth literature review is required to build a hypothesis and clearly understand the main concepts. There are many statements and claims, and paragraphs without references/citations. I must say there is a dire need to read the latest literature and support the arguments in hypothesis building.
3. There is a need to explain how the instrument was developed. How many respondents participated in the study? And which research methodology was employed?
4. Results need to be more detailed, and authors should also report the determinant of the coefficient value.
5. The discussion of the study requires a more explanation of the study findings and should present separate headings for findings, implications, and future research directions
6. There is also a need to separately discuss the implications of the study.

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 01, 2022, at 12:09 PM

To: **I Nyoman Suwija** <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," to Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/136>

Username: suwija

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[Eurasian Journal of Educational Research \(EJER\)](#)

Response Matrix Reviewer-1

No	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	<p>The study "<i>The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support</i>" is in accordance with the scope of the journal. However, I have some concerns that, if addressed by the authors, will make this study a valuable contribution to the body of literature.</p>	<p>Thanks very much, dear reviewer, for your kind remarks and for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We are very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.</p>
1	<p>The introduction needs to justify and articulate the focus and questions of this study from the relevant scholarship, policy, and practice perspectives using relevant literature as support. There is a need to explain in detail the traditional and modern Balinese songs. Researchers should develop research objectives at the end of the introduction in alignment with the study focus.</p> <p>Also, many claims throughout the manuscript are not supported by sources/references. Few instances, Page 1: <i>Balinese songs were intentionally crafted as both amusing and instructional literary works. By listening to the chanting of Balinese tunes, many positive traits can be developed.....</i> Page 2: <i>Character is a trait, an attitude, a moral code, or a single attribute acquired over a lifetime. Since personality exists, a foundation in soft skills enhances one's future success. This is the skill that each individual must develop and constantly employ.....</i> I suggest authors should avoid such claims without references.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, we have revised the introduction by problem identification and explained in detail the traditional and modern Balinese songs. Following your kind guidelines, we have also added the research objectives at the end of the introduction section</p> <p>Please see pages 2-4</p> <p>We have also updated the citations and added missing references for the highlighted claims and throughout the manuscript</p> <p>Please see pages 1-5</p>
2.	<p>Before proceeding with the hypothesis development, authors should explain separately the <i>positive character-building ability in Balinese songs</i>. The hypothesis 4 needs to be more detailed and current literature based. Moreover, the literature review shows minimum exposure of authors to the latest work of many renowned scholars. Authors should dig deep into the existing studies to build a stronger case for hypothesized paths, specifically moderation links.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, Many thanks for your kind suggestions and comments.</p> <p>We have also added more details/literature regarding <i>positive character-building ability in Balinese songs</i> and in support of the hypothesis 4.</p> <p>Please see pages 5-13</p>
3.	<p>There is a need to elaborate on the research methodology in detail, along with the participant information and the study's mode. How did the authors recruit respondents? What were including and excluding criteria etc.? It is also good that the authors explain how the data was analyzed.</p>	<p>Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have now revised the methodology section with the participant information and the study's mode and added all the details related to the data collection process. Following your kind suggestions, we have also explained how the data was analyzed.</p> <p>Please see pages 14-15</p>

4.	How did the authors assess the discriminant validity of the study constructs? Add a figure for the structural model assessment. Also, it is recommended to present the regression results in tabular form.	Dear reviewer, for discriminant validity, please see Table 2 Fornell Larcker criterion and Table 3 with factor loadings. Many thanks, dear reviewer. We have now added the structural model assessment figure Please see page 17 Following your kind guidelines, we have also added Table 5 for all the hypothesized results Please see page 19
5.	The Discussion is much abbreviated. This section needs a description of your study aims and a brief summary of the findings as they relate to these aims, followed by a comprehensive summary of how your findings compare and contrast to those of previous researchers (including relevant citations) that I only see a few. This will give the paper greater consistency and structural coherence, leaving readers with a clear sense of the paper's aims and trajectory. Also, authors should present theoretical and practical implications with separate headings	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. We have thoroughly revised the discussion section as per your kind suggestions. We have also added a separate heading for implications Please see pages 20-25
6.	There is a need to add a separate section for the Limitations	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind suggestion. We have added the Limitations and Future Research part per your kind guidelines. Please see page 26
7.	Authors should double-check their citations and match them with the end-text references. Moreover, there is a need to follow the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study. Also, there is a need to add DOIs for the reference	Dear reviewer, we have cross-checked all the citations and followed the APA 7th edition to record all the references in this study.

Response Matrix Reviewer-2

No.	Reviewer's Comment	Response
	The detailed comments regarding each part of the manuscript are given as follows, which may be helpful to the authors to improve their paper.	Thanks a lot, dear reviewer, for providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We are very grateful for all the valid and valuable comments. These helped us a lot to improve our work.
1.	The start of the paper is good. However, too much detail in the introduction makes it boring and overworked. Rather than focusing on the gap and discussing the reason for proposing the model and justifying the proposed associations, the author/authors primarily focused too much on explaining Balinese culture, etc. The detail of how this study can advance our knowledge in this area is missing. Moreover, the authors have not properly utilized to devise the research gap to make the manuscript more influential. The authors tried to present a gap, but the references are not updated.	Dear reviewer, we have revised the introduction sector section, making it interesting to grab readers' attention. We have also focused on the gap and discussed the proposed associations' reasons. Please see pages 2-5

2.	The literature review needs to be much more clearly written. The ideas are there, but they need to be explained further, and the ideas need to be more clearly linked together. An in-depth literature review is required to build a hypothesis and clearly understand the main concepts. There are many statements and claims and paragraphs without references/citations. I must say there is a dire need to read the latest literature and support the arguments in hypothesis building.	Dear reviewer, Many thanks for your kind suggestions and comments. We have now revised the literature review. We have conducted an in-depth literature review to build a hypothesis and clearly explain the main concept. We apologize, dear reviewer, for this inconvenience of not providing the references for long statements and claims. We have thoroughly revised the whole manuscript to add citations and avoid claims without references. Please see pages 5-13
3.	There is a need to explain how the instrument was developed. How many respondents participated in the study? And which research methodology was employed?	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have now revised the methodology section and explained it regarding the instrument used, respondents of this study, and the methodology employed to conduct the current Please see pages 14-16
4	Results need to be more detailed, and authors should also report the determinant of the coefficient value.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have now revised the results section and added missing details as suggested Please see pages 16-19
5	The discussion of the study requires a more explanation of the study findings and should present separate headings for findings, implications, and future research directions There is also a need to separately discuss the implications of the study.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind guidelines. We have thoroughly revised the discussion section as per your kind suggestions by adding separate headings for findings, and future research directions Please see pages 20-26
6	There is also a need to separately discuss the implications of the study.	Dear reviewer, many thanks for your kind suggestion. We have added the study implications as per your kind guidelines. Please see page 24-25

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 25, 2022, at 10:21 PM

To: I Nyoman Suwija <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

The paper "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," has been reviewed again.

I appreciate the authors for their good efforts in revising the manuscript. However, there are a few things that must be overcome before acceptance;

1. Table 1 needs more clarity in terms of presenting the items' psychometric properties.
2. The measurement model and structural model figures need to be clearer.
3. Authors should add moderation graphs with upper and lower limits of the institutional support to enhance the effectiveness of IVs on Dv.
4. I can also see some grammatical and English errors in this paper, which make it quite hard to read in places, and this is sufficient to make it unpublishable in its present form. The article itself is interesting, but it is a difficult read, given the issues above. I suggest authors always have their work edited by an English professional editor before submissions. Find someone who is a native speaker of English to proofread your paper.

The paper can be resubmitted for a review after huge improvements, and this does not guarantee it will be approved.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (EJER)

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement**Editor in Chief** <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 18, 2022, at 11:11 AM

To: I Nyoman Suwija <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

Thank you for submitting revision of the manuscript, "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," to Eurasian Journal of Educational Research.

With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://ejer.com/index.php/ejer/authorDashboard/submission/136>

Username: suwija

If your paper pass reviews processes and meets our standards it is necessary to make the payment.

Publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000 USD**.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Editor in Chief

[Eurasian Journal of Educational Research \(EJER\)](#)

Response Matrix

No.	Reviewer Comment	Response
	I appreciate the authors for their good efforts in revising the manuscript. However, there are a few things that must be overcome before acceptance:	Once again, many thanks, dear reviewer, for allowing us to revise our manuscript. We now revised the manuscript to meet your kind requirements.
1.	Table 1 needs more clarity in terms of presenting the items' psychometric properties.	Dear reviewer, we have now revised Table 1 following your kind guidelines. Please see pages 13
2	The measurement model and structural model figures need to be clearer.	
3	Authors should add moderation graphs with upper and lower limits of the institutional support to enhance the effectiveness of IVs on Dv.	Dear reviewer, following your kind guidelines, we have revised the discussion part stating the novelty of mediation links. Please see page 20
4	I can also see some grammatical and English errors in this paper, which make it quite hard to read in places, and this is sufficient to make it unpublishable in its present form. The article itself is interesting, but it is a difficult read, given the issues above. I suggest authors always have their work edited by an English professional editor before submissions. Find someone who is a native speaker of English to proofread your paper.	Dear reviewer, we are really sorry for this inconvenience. Following your kind guidelines, we have now proof-edited the paper with the help of professional native English speakers to enhance its quality and readability

[EJER] Submission Acknowledgement

Editor in Chief <ejer.editor@gmail.com>

Mon, May 16, 2022, at 01: 23 PM

To: **I Nyoman Suwija** <inyoman.suwija63@gmail.com>

I Nyoman Suwija:

Congratulations!

Your paper entitled, "The Impact of Positive Character Building Ability in Balinese Songs and Teachers' Ability and Character on the Moral Education in Indonesia: Moderating Role of Institutional Support," has been accepted for publication in Eurasian Journal of Educational Research (Vol. 99, 2022).

Thank you for your interest in our journal. Your Journal paper would be indexed in Scopus (Elsevier), Google Scholar, Scirus, GetCited, Scribd, so on. We look forward to receiving your subsequent research papers.

Note:

We will send you email separately for publication fee (covers: publishing, review, and databases indexing costs): **2000 USD**.

Editor in Chief

[Eurasian Journal of Educational Research \(EJER\)](#)